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Interprofessional continuing education credit will be awarded to participants that paid the continuing education credit fee while registering for the Summit.

Those participants that paid the interprofessional continuing education credit fee must do the following for each workshop attended to secure credit for the session:

1) Sign the session attendance roster.
2) Complete the session evaluation.
1. Describe the IPCP education program and accompanying materials for students, faculty and staff
2. Incorporate results of the Midwest Interprofessional Practice, Education, and Research Center NEPQR and NEXUS Innovations Network IPCP studies into your practice environments
3. Discuss Strategies for effective implementation of IPCP in diverse clinical practices
Introductions

Please share your:

- Name
- Role
- Institution
MIPERC is a regional inter-institutional infrastructure created to infuse interprofessional education, collaborative practice and research for the improvement of healthcare in our communities.

MIPERC was founded in 2007.

Founding Members

- Grand Valley State University
- Grand Rapids Medical Education Partners
- Michigan State University-College of Human Medicine
MIPERC Membership

- 150 Individual Members from 25 Organizations
- 20 Organizational Members

University of Michigan
University of Southern Indiana
Wayne State University
Western Regional Center - Michigan Area AHEC
Cherry Health
Oakland University
University of Evansville
University of of Michigan
Indiana University of Evansville
Grand Valley State University
Michigan State University - College of Human Medicine
Ivy Tech Community College
Indiana University
Grand Rapids Community College
Grand Rapids Medical Education Partners
Creighton University
Ferris State University
Spectrum Health
Central Michigan University

Grand Rapids School of Medicine
Indiana Wesleyan University
Kent Intermediate School District
Midwest Model of Interprofessional Practice, Education, and Research
A Model Contributing to Transforming US Healthcare

**Learners**
- Students and professionals in a health or health-related program or environment
**Collaborative Partners**
- Acute & Long-term Care
- Community Healthcare Agencies
- Community Members
- Continuum of care communities
- Healthcare Systems
- Palliative care & hospice
- Rehabilitation care

**Outcomes**
Learning outcomes for faculty, students and collaborative partners
(Knowledge, skills, attitudes)
- Scope of Practice
- Collaboration
- Communication
- IP Socialization
- Organizational Behavior

**Healthcare Outcomes**
- Better Health
- Better Care
- Decreased Costs

**Logic Model**

The words outside the circle represent the recommended IOM processes. The words within the circle represent the core competencies developed by the Interprofessional Education Collaborative, May 2011.
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Innovations in Interprofessional Education & Collaborative Practice
Online Interprofessional Collaborative Practice Program

- Online Modules
  - Introduction to IPE & Collaborative Practice
  - Patient Safety
  - Team Dynamics
  - Tips for Implementing Healthcare Behavioral Changes
  - Preceptor Development
  - Faculty Development

- Resources
  - Daily Huddle Guidelines
  - Patient-Centered Collaborative Plan of Care
  - IPE Preceptor Manual for Facilitating Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice Learning Experiences
  - Student Team Visit Guidelines
Testing An Interprofessional Collaborative Practice Model To Improve Obesity-related Health Outcomes With A Statewide Consortium

This project was supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) under grant number UD7HP25052 for Testing an Interprofessional Collaborative Practice Model to Improve Obesity-related Health Outcomes with a Statewide Consortium for grant amount of $1.47 million awarded to Michigan Department of Community Health.
Background

- Obesity is epidemic in the US with one of the highest rates compared to other industrialized countries in the world.
- Michigan ranks 11th in the nation for rates of obesity.
- 65.5% of Michigan adults are overweight or obese.
- 30.6% of Michigan youth are obese or overweight.
- Governor Snyder has made obesity a state health priority.
- This statewide partnership was founded on a belief that weight management interventions must be Interprofessional using collaborative approaches.
1. Allow emergent nurse leaders to demonstrate interprofessional collaborative practice (IPCP) leadership.

2. Incorporate training opportunities for nursing and other health professional students into the IPCP practice environment of two pilot clinics.

3. Develop a long term plan for the dissemination and sustainability of the IPCP clinic-based innovation through a statewide initiative.
Study process

- Practitioner/student training in foundational Interprofessional collaborative practice modules, daily huddles, collaborative care planning and team case presentations.

- Clinical setting intervention- program specific content delivered over three months, food/activity logs, patient-centered goals measured and assessed at each visit, selected clinical indicators collected.
Study results

- Training provided to 14 practitioners (physicians, nurse practitioners, social workers) and 59 students (nursing, social work, dietetics, movement science) at two nurse managed centers.

- Intervention provided to 290 patient study participants with statistically significant weight loss in those completing the program.

- Foundational online modules increased interprofessional collaborative practice knowledge by 15-20%.
Conclusions/practice implications

- Conclusion: This study has demonstrated the potential relationship of an Interprofessional education training for practitioners and students influencing a collaborative practice weight loss program in two nurse managed centers.

- Implications: Following the format of the Interprofessional training and weight loss program, dissemination of this innovative program can be replicated at other primary care sites across the state.
Cherry Street Health Center

By Amy Tompkins
Established in 1988, Cherry Health is the largest Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) in the state of Michigan serving Barry, Eaton, Kent, Montcalm and Wayne counties at more than 20 locations.

Services provided by Cherry Health include primary care, women’s health, pediatrics, dental, vision, behavioral health, mental health, correctional health, five school based health centers and employee assistance for employers.

According to 2015 UDS data, Cherry Health served 66,234 patients with 296,793 visits.
Cherry Street Health Center

- Cherry Street Health Center is the original location of Cherry Health.
- There are 3 floors; Dental, Family Practice and Woman’s Health.
- The Cherry Street location sees approximately 450 medical patients weekly and has 60 medical employees.
Cherry Street Health Center is a Primary Care Medical Home with NCQA level 2 designation.

Our Family Practice is compromised of two care teams. Each team is co-located and has a RN team lead, 3 providers, and 4 Medical Assistants.

Our team members include: providers (MD, NP, PA), RN, LPN, MA, Care Manager, Community Health Worker, Community Resource Connector, Front Desk and Medical Records. Ancillary support includes: Outreach and Enrollment, Dental, Vision, RD, Social Worker, Maternal Infant Health Program, WIC, and interpreters.
Implementation of IPCP at FQHC

- 19 staff members participated in trainings both in person (2 hours) and via online modules (6 hours) at implementation.

- 22 students from GVSU PA Program, Michigan State Medical School and Ferris Pharmacy Program began rotating through Cherry Street Health Center in the fall of 2014. Students were oriented through Cherry Health and trained on IPCP through their programs. Rotations varied in length.

- 250 patients with diabetes consented and participated in the research.
A Typical Day

- Students would work directly with their providers seeing their patients throughout the day. They would huddle in the morning and conference with the providers actively throughout the patient visits. Some students met with the majority of the provider’s patients. While others, only met with 8-10 patients per day who were identified through that provider’s huddle.

- The providers all seemed to share the Pharmacy Students.
Student Collaboration

- Each day at least one patient was identified by all the providers who would have a visit with all 3 students simultaneously.
- Students worked with triage RN to identify patients that they could make return phone calls to.
- Students worked with Diabetes Educator to facilitate monthly Diabetes Education Classes. These groups were very well attended and the students and patients both found them very beneficial.
Maintenance

- Representatives from GVSU met with Cherry Street staff members monthly at their staff meeting to gather their input and gauge how things were going.
- In addition, there was a monthly meeting with Cherry Street providers where input was gathered and need adjustments to process were made.
Successes

- Cherry Street staff and providers loved having students on site and thoroughly enjoyed teaching.
- Implementation of the daily huddle improved communication, efficiency and staff satisfaction.
- Having the new perspective of PharmD on site was a huge staff, provider and patient satisfier.
- Opportunities for patient education increased.
- Patient outcomes improved
- Productivity increased
Challenges

- The short duration of student rotations was a challenge. By the time the student was oriented to the practice site, trained on the EHR and becoming more independent, their rotation was ending.

- The provider’s schedules with mostly 15 and 30 minute appointment times was also a challenge. When having one or more student push into a patient visit our cycle times increased by an average of 30 minutes per visit.

- Staff training was an additional challenge. Any time there was a training it required blocking the providers schedules and rescheduling patients.
Cultivating Practice Site Relationships

By Michael Bouthillier
Cultivating Practice Site Relationships

- Understand providers may not have an educational mission
- Find common goals such as quality improvements, patient and provider satisfaction, etc related to your project
- Understand their perspectives and concerns
- Establish measurable metrics that relate to the concerns and follow up on the agreed upon metrics
- Be willing to adjust your project if the practice site experiences continued difficulties
Idealistic Pragmatism
Pragmatic Idealism

- Practice informing education
- Education informing practice
- “... students must be involved in real work that has meaning for their professional development.”
- More training sites in Primary Care
Specific Suggestions

- Build on existing relationships and successes
- Scale back in order to scale up
- Begin with the top leadership
- Consider grants
  - Internships in IT can be budgeted in for data extraction and DataBase builds
- Find ways your students can expand service by providing service
- Lead with your best students
- “Script” as many specific tasks as possible
- Be respectful of office flow
Evaluation
By Lawrence Baer
Rationale

• Support/Reinforce your own commitment to IPE/IPCP

• Demonstrate the value of IPE/IPCP

• Check whether and where improvements in your program can be made
Kinds of Outcomes

- Increased Knowledge
- Behavioral and Attitudinal Changes
- Improved Patient Outcomes
- Improved Administrative/Systems Outcomes
Ways to Measure Knowledge Outcomes

- **Standard Topic-Related Tools** - (check for demonstrated reliability/validity)
  - Proprietary tests
    - Multiple Choice
    - True - False
    - Short Answer
Ways to Measure Behavioral and Attitudinal Outcomes

- Published Psychometric Instruments
  - Annotated Bibliography at the National Center
    - [https://nexusipe.org/advancing/assessment-evaluation](https://nexusipe.org/advancing/assessment-evaluation)

- Proprietary Instruments
  - May have questionable Reliability and/or Validity
Ways to Measure Patient Outcomes

- Disease or Condition-Specific Indicators and/or Variables
- May want to compare with National Targets as a measure of success
Ways to Measure System Outcomes

- Time per patient
- Cost of Care - usually represented by Billable Charges
- Administrative Costs
- Employee Satisfaction - (published instruments, if possible)
- Patient Satisfaction - (published instruments, if possible)
Design/Development

- Each Outcome may require different methodology and influence entire project design and implementation
- Get an evaluation-experienced person on your project team EARLY, a basic biostatistician may not be enough
- DO NOT wait until project is well underway to think about statistical analysis
- If possible, PILOT test both your PROGRAM methods and your OUTCOME measures
Things to Look Out For

- IRB approval may be needed; check with IRB and submit EARLY
- Informed Consent by Faculty, Staff, Students and Patients may be required
- HIPAA may apply, requiring specific design components or limitations in data collection
- FERPA may affect the use of educational records
- Missing data may prove problematic for some validated instruments - check them out beforehand
Breakout Sessions
Opportunities Implementing IPE

- Interprofessional team research
- Innovative education & practice projects
- Collaboration among team members for best outcomes
- Advancing the NEXUS: Recognizing and being responsive to organizational system change
- Student interest & leadership
- Development of interprofessional clinical sites
- Transforming healthcare through innovative interprofessional work redesign
- Snowball effect
Challenges Implementing IPE

- Faculty time constraints
- Team teaching assignments (cost/workload)
- Variable student schedules
- Resource limitations
- Faculty development needs
- Limited IPE clinical placements
- Variable lengths of student clinical experiences
- Space limitations for courses
- Limited implementation literature on IPE & IPCP
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9th Annual MIPERC Conference
September 22 & 23, 2016 in Grand Rapids, MI
The Role of Interprofessional Teams in Health Care Delivery

Conference Objectives
Upon completion of the learning experiences associated with this conference, participants will be able to:

• Describe mechanisms for maintaining optimal patient care flow, team vitality, and effectiveness in an interprofessional practice (IPP) environment.
• Propose strategies for determining optimal IPP team compositions for various types of health care services and patient populations.
• Identify IPP contributions to chronic care management and health promotion and disease prevention services.
• Describe the use of interprofessional teams in population health management.